

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ದೇವರಾಜ ಅರಸ್.—ನೀರು ಎಷ್ಟು ಇದೆ, ಅದು ಎಷ್ಟೆಷ್ಟು ದೂರ ಎಳೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗುತ್ತದೆಯೋ ಅಷ್ಟೆಲ್ಲವನ್ನೂ ನಾವು ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಂ. ತಮ್ಮಯ್ಯ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ತಾವು ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ ಹಣದ ಅಭಾವದಿಂದ ಈ ಪ್ರಾಜೆಕ್ಟ್‌ಗಳು ನಿಧಾನವಾಗುತ್ತಿವೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ತಾವು ಪವರ್ ಕಾರ್ಪೊರೇಷನ್ ಮತ್ತು ಇನ್ನೂ ಬೇರೆ ಬೇರೆ ಕಾರ್ಪೊರೇಷನ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಅವುಗಳಿಂದ ಮತ್ತು ವರ್ಲ್ಡ್ ಬ್ಯಾಂಕ್‌ನಿಂದ ಹಣವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಅದನ್ನು ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗುತ್ತದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ದೇವರಾಜ ಅರಸ್.—ನಾನು ಆಗಲೇ ಅದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡುವಾಗ ನೀವು ಇಲ್ಲೇ ಇದ್ದರಲ್ಲ;

SRI M. V. RAJASHEKHARAN.— I entire agree with the statement of the Chief Minister that funds are inadequate. I understand due to the payment on the basis of wrong classification under Channel works, a number of officers were suspended. What is the stage of that suspension whether any enquiry is held or completed ? Nearly 40 crores of rupees are involved in this.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ದೇವರಾಜ ಅರಸ್.— ನಿಮಗೂ ಆ ವಿಚಾರ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆ. Whether it is Rs. 40 crores or 4 rupees, please put a separate question for it.

SRI M. V. RAJASHEKHARAN.— Sir, Nelamangala Taluk of Mandya District is chronically drought affected area. When are you going to provide water to that area ?

SRI D. DEVANUR.— A portion will be covered in the first stage in Nelamangala area.

Special Nutrition Programme in the State

115. SMT. C. NAGAMMA KESHAVAMURTHY (Mayakonda).— Will the Minister of State for Women and Children's Welfare be pleased to state.—

(a) the amount spent on Special Nutrition Programme in our State;

(b) the number of beneficiaries and which are the places where Special Nutrition Programme is carried out.

(c) how is this programme being executed and what is the staff employed for execution and supervision of this programme.

(d) whether there is any evaluation done regarding the effect of this programme ; if not why ?

SRI L. G. HAVANUR.— (Minister of Law, Social Welfare and Backward Classes) On behalf of **SRI MANORAMA MADHWARAJ.**—Minister of State for Women and Children's Welfare

(a) The expenditure on the scheme for the last 3 years is given below:

Year		Rs. in lakhs
1975-76	...	53.35
1976-77	...	56.00
1977-78	...	113.70
Total ...		<u>223.05</u>

The budgeted expenditure for the current year is Rs. 199.00 lakhs.

(b)—

No. of beneficiaries in 54 Towns	...	2,11,596
No. of beneficiaries in Tribal Centres	...	11,753
Total ...		<u>2,23,349</u>

The Special Nutrition Programme is being implemented in the slum areas of certain 54 urban places and in 29 tribal blocks. (Deatils in Annexure-I)—Laid on the table**

(c) The programme is executed through the Municipal Corporations, Municipal Councils and the Block agencies. In each feeding centre, one supervisor and one helper is employed for distribution.

(d) The Government of India have entrusted the evaluation work of the National Institute of Nutrition, Hyderabad. They may take up the work shortly.

SMT. C. NAGAMMA KESHAVAMURTHY.— As per your answer this is a 2 crore rupees programme and the beneficiaries are nearly 2½ lakhs. This programme is implemented in the State for the last 7 years. I am very sorry to hear that there is no follow up work being done as to the effect of this programme for the last 7 years ?

† **SRI L. G. HAVANUR.**— It is not correct to say that there is no follow up action. Some responsible bodies like Municipalities and Corporations have been entrusted with the work of distribution or implementing this programme. I believe the criticism that no follow up action has been taken is not correct.

** Copy placed in the Karnataka Legislature library vide No. 14/dated 19th July 1978.

SMT. C. NAGAMMA KESHAVAMURTHY.— You have said that the Government of India have entrusted the evaluation work to the National Institute of Nutrition, Hyderabad and the work will be taken up shortly. ಆದರೆ, ಇವ್ಯಾಲುವೇಷನ್ ಕೆಲಸ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದಿಲ್ಲ. ಎರಡು ಕೋಟಿ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಖರ್ಚು ಮಾಡಿದರೂ ಸಹ ಯಾವ ಬೆನಿಫಿಯರಿಗೂ ಅದರ ಪ್ರಯೋಜನ ಸಿಗುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದುದರಿಂದ, ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಪೂರ್ಣ ಗಮನ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

12-30 P.M.

SRI L. G. HAVANUR.— The programme is initiated by the Central Government. There is a Special Evaluation Centre opened by the Central Government at Hyderabad and so they have undertaken the job of evaluating the work. It is not correct to say that there is misuse of the articles which are intended to be given to the beneficiaries. However, some allegations have been received and measures will be taken to see that the work of distributing milk, bread, etc. is given to the municipalities or some other responsible agencies.

SMT. C. NAGAMMA KESHAVAMURTHY.— You have said that it is being implemented through Municipal Corporations. It is an obligatory duty on the part of the officials but they take the least interest in the programme. I suggest that the department itself should have some supervisors—one for every 10,000 beneficiaries and see that this programme is implemented properly and they get milk and bread in time.

SRI L. G. HAVANUR.— The suggestion appears to be good and we may think of appointing one supervisor for ten thousand beneficiaries.

Minor Irrigation work in Khanapura Taluk

214. **SRI N. B. SIRDESAI (Khanapur).**— Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state.—

(a) the number of proposals received for Minor Irrigation works in Khanapur taluka during the last five years.

(b) of them the number of works sanctioned.

(c) the number of proposals for minor Irrigation works pending sanction, the reasons for their pendency?

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS (Chief Minister).—

(a) 48 (Forty Eight)

(b) 15 (Fifteen)

(c) 33 (Thirty three)

These are under investigation